Cosmic ray acceleration mechanisms Project presentation

Discussion group 4

CERN Latinamerican School on High Energy Physics March 15th-28th, 2009, Medellín

Outline

Introduction

General constraints on acceleration sites

- Two general forms of acceleration
- Possible sources
- Hillas criterion
- Energy losses

3 Fermi acceleration

- Second-order Fermi acceleration
- First-order Fermi acceleration

Outline

Introduction

General constraints on acceleration sites
 Two general forms of acceleration
 Possible sources

- Hillas criterion
- Energy losses

3 Fermi acceleration

- Second-order Fermi acceleration
- First-order Fermi acceleration

Introduction and motivation

- Discovery: Hess, 1912, balloon flights measuring the intensity of ionising radiation as a function of altitude.
- Large energy span: 1 10²⁰ eV
- Made up of protons, nuclei, electrons and other charged particles.
- Expected to be isotropic due to deflection by B: don't point back to sources.
- Highest-energy CRs measured to be anisotropic by Pierre Auger Observatory in November 2007.
- How are the highest energies reached?

Top-down scenario: CRs are decay products of massive particle

Bottom-up scenario: charged particles are accelerated to high energies in special

astrophysical environments

Introduction and motivation

- Discovery: Hess, 1912, balloon flights measuring the intensity of ionising radiation as a function of altitude.
- Large energy span: 1 10²⁰ eV
- Made up of protons, nuclei, electrons and other charged particles.
- Expected to be isotropic due to deflection by B: don't point back to sources.
- Highest-energy CRs measured to be anisotropic by Pierre Auger Observatory in November 2007.
- How are the highest energies reached?
 - ► Top-down scenario: CRs are decay products of massive particle
 - Bottom-up scenario: charged particles are accelerated to high energies in special astrophysical environments

Introduction and motivation

- Discovery: Hess, 1912, balloon flights measuring the intensity of ionising radiation as a function of altitude.
- Large energy span: 1 10²⁰ eV
- Made up of protons, nuclei, electrons and other charged particles.
- Expected to be isotropic due to deflection by B: don't point back to sources.
- Highest-energy CRs measured to be anisotropic by Pierre Auger Observatory in November 2007.
- How are the highest energies reached?
 - Top-down scenario: CRs are decay products of massive particle
 - Bottom-up scenario: charged particles are accelerated to high energies in special astrophysical environments



Source: C. Amsler et al., Phys. Lett. B 667, 1 (2008)

Outline

Introduction

General constraints on acceleration sites

- Two general forms of acceleration
- Possible sources
- Hillas criterion
- Energy losses

³ Fermi acceleration

- Second-order Fermi acceleration
- First-order Fermi acceleration

Constraints

- Geometry: accelerated particle should be kept inside the source while been acelerated
- Power: the source must possess the required amount of energy to give to the particles
- Radiation losses: the energy lost by a particle as radiation in the accelerating field should not exceed the energy gain
- Interaction losses: the energy lost by interactions with other particles must be less than the energy gain
- Emissivity: the total number and power of sources must explain the observed UHECR flux

Two general forms of acceleration

One-shot acceleration



Diffusive acceleration



Galactic

- SNe II
- Pulsars
- Shock acceleration in SN remnants

- Active galaxies
- Gamma ray bursts

Galactic

SNe II

- Pulsars
- Shock acceleration in SN remnants

- Active galaxies
- Gamma ray bursts



Galactic

- SNe II
- Pulsars
- Shock acceleration in SN remnants

- Active galaxies
- Gamma ray bursts



Galactic

- SNe II
- Pulsars
- Shock acceleration in SN remnants

- Active galaxies
- Gamma ray bursts



Galactic

- SNe II
- Pulsars
- Shock acceleration in SN remnants

- Active galaxies
- Gamma ray bursts

Galactic

- SNe II
- Pulsars
- Shock acceleration in SN remnants

- Active galaxies
- Gamma ray bursts



Galactic

- SNe II
- Pulsars
- Shock acceleration in SN remnants

- Active galaxies
- Gamma ray bursts



Hillas criterion

Hillas criterion

- General geometrical criterion to select potential acceleration sites.
- The particle, with Larmor radius R_{l} , must not leave the site, of linear size $R_{\rm S}$, until it reaches the desired energy, i.e.

$$R_{L} = \Gamma \frac{mv_{\perp}}{qB} = \Gamma \frac{\varepsilon}{qB} \le R_{S} \Rightarrow \varepsilon_{\max} = \varepsilon_{H} \equiv \Gamma qBR_{S}$$

For instance, for a supernova remnant,

$$\begin{cases} R_S \sim 5 \text{ pc} \\ B \sim 10 \mu \text{G} \end{cases} \Rightarrow \varepsilon_H \sim 10^{16} \text{ eV}$$



Source: M. Kachelriess, arXiv: astro-ph/0801.4376 (2008)

Energy losses

- For a more realistic description, we can introduce energy losses.
- The maximum energy $\varepsilon_{\rm loss}$ a particle can get in an accelerator of infinite size is determined by

$$\frac{d\varepsilon^{(+)}}{dt} = -\frac{d\varepsilon^{(-)}}{dt}$$

 Depending on the conditions at the accelerator, the maximum energy of a particle is limited either by geometrical (Hillas) or energy-loss constraints:

$$\varepsilon_{\max} = \min(\varepsilon_H, \varepsilon_{\text{loss}})$$
 .

 Diffusive acceleration: losses dominate by synchrotron radiation; works in shock waves

$$arepsilon_d\simeq rac{3}{2}rac{m^4}{q^4}B^{-2}R^{-1}$$

 One-shot acceleration with synchrotron-dominated losses: requires ordered fields throughout the acceleration site; possibly in AGN (for UHECRs)

$$\varepsilon_s = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{m^2}{q^{3/2}} B^{-1/2}$$

 One-shot acceleration with curvature-dominated losses: requires ordered fields of very specific configurations (maybe near neutron stars and black holes)

$$\varepsilon_c = \left(rac{3}{2}
ight)^{1/4} rac{m}{q^{1/4}} B^{1/4} R^{1/2}$$

Summarising...

$$arepsilon_{\mathsf{max}}\left({m{B}, {m{R}}}
ight) = \left\{ {egin{array}{c} arepsilon_{{m{H}}} \left({m{B}, {m{R}}}
ight), & {m{B} \le {m{B}_0}\left({m{R}}
ight)} \\ arepsilon_{\mathsf{closs}} \left({m{B}, {m{R}}}
ight), & {m{B} > {m{B}_0}\left({m{R}}
ight)} \end{array}
ight.$$

with

$$B_0\left(R
ight) = 3.16 imes 10^{-3} \ {
m G} \ {A^{4/3} \over Z^{5/3}} \left({R \over {
m kpc}}
ight)^{-2/3}$$

 $\varepsilon_{\text{loss}}(B,R) = \begin{cases} \varepsilon_d(B,R), & \text{for diffuse acceleration} \\ \varepsilon_s(B,R), & \text{for inductive acceleration with synchrotron-dominated losses} \\ \varepsilon_c(B,R), & \text{for inductive acceleration with curvature-dominated losses} \end{cases}$

- Thick line: lower boundary due to Hillas criterion
- Light grey: allowed by one-shot acceleration with curvature-dominated losses
- Grey: allowed by one-shot acceleration with synchrotron-dominated losses
- Dark grey: allowed by both one-shot and diffusive acceleration
- Source: K. Ptitsyna and S. Troitsky, arXiv: astro-ph/0808.0367 (2008)



Outline

Introduction

2 General constraints on acceleration sites

- Two general forms of acceleration
- Possible sources
- Hillas criterion
- Energy losses

Fermi acceleration

- Second-order Fermi acceleration
- First-order Fermi acceleration

Second-order Fermi acceleration

- Proposed by Fermi in 1949.
- Collision of relativistic particles on "magnetic mirrors".
- Energy gain per reflection $\propto (v/c)^2$.



Rate of energy increase:

$$\frac{dE}{dt} = \frac{4}{3} \left(\frac{v^2}{cL} \right) E = \alpha E \; .$$

- The particle remains inside the acceleration region for a time t_{esc}.
- Using a diffusion-loss equation we find, in the steady state,

$$\frac{dN(E)}{dE} = -\left(1 + \frac{1}{\alpha t_{\text{esc}}}\right) \frac{N(E)}{E} \Rightarrow N(E) = \text{ const.} \times E^{-\left(1 + \frac{1}{\alpha t_{\text{esc}}}\right)}$$

Problems with second-order Fermi acceleration:

- Cloud density too low $\Rightarrow \sim$ 1 collision per year \Rightarrow slow energy gain
- No reason why exponent should be equal to the observed value of \sim 2.7 isotropically

Problems with second-order Fermi acceleration:

- Cloud density too low $\Rightarrow \sim$ 1 collision per year \Rightarrow slow energy gain
- No reason why exponent should be equal to the observed value of \sim 2.7 isotropically

First-order Fermi acceleration

- Relativistic particles, supersonic magnetic shocks.
- Energy gain per crossing $\propto v/c$.
- Average energy after one collision: $E = \beta E_o$



downstream \rightarrow upstream



downstream ← upstream

● Same energy gain in downstream → upstream and upstream → downstream crossing.

- Probability that a particle remains inside the acceleration region after one collision: *P*.
- After *k* collisions: $N = N_o P^k$ with energies $E = E_o \beta^k$; so

$$N(E) dE = \text{const.} \times E^{-1 + \ln(P) / \ln(\beta)} dE$$

• Possible to show that $\ln(P) / \ln(\beta) = -1$ using kinematics, so that $N(E) dE = \text{const.} \times E^{-2} dE$

Outline

Introduction

General constraints on acceleration sites
 Two general forms of acceleration

- Possible sources
- Hillas criterion
- Energy losses

3 Fermi acceleration

- Second-order Fermi acceleration
- First-order Fermi acceleration



• CRs have a rich spectrum:

- ▶ Knee: 10¹⁵-10¹⁶ eV
- Ankle: $\sim 4 \times 10^{19} \text{ eV}$
- Mechanism to accelerate to highest energies still unknown.
- However there are general constraints that limit possible sources, notably
 - Hillas criterion
 - Energy losses
- Acceleration can occur either as ...
 - one-shot
 - diffusive

• Most favoured diffusive mechanism: (first-order) Fermi acceleration.

- CRs have a rich spectrum:
 - ▶ Knee: 10¹⁵-10¹⁶ eV
 - Ankle: $\sim 4 \times 10^{19} \text{ eV}$
- Mechanism to accelerate to highest energies still unknown.
- However there are general constraints that limit possible sources, notably
 - Hillas criterion
 - Energy losses
- Acceleration can occur either as ...
 - one-shot
 - diffusive
- Most favoured diffusive mechanism: (first-order) Fermi acceleration.
- Wait for better UHECR correlation results from Auger!

- CRs have a rich spectrum:
 - ▶ Knee: 10¹⁵-10¹⁶ eV
 - Ankle: $\sim 4 \times 10^{19} \text{ eV}$
- Mechanism to accelerate to highest energies still unknown.
- However there are general constraints that limit possible sources, notably
 - Hillas criterion
 - Energy losses
- Acceleration can occur either as ...
 - one-shot
 - diffusive
- Most favoured diffusive mechanism: (first-order) Fermi acceleration.
- Wait for better UHECR correlation results from Auger!

- CRs have a rich spectrum:
 - ▶ Knee: 10¹⁵-10¹⁶ eV
 - ► Ankle: ~ 4 × 10¹⁹ eV
- Mechanism to accelerate to highest energies still unknown.
- However there are general constraints that limit possible sources, notably
 - Hillas criterion
 - Energy losses
- Acceleration can occur either as ...
 - one-shot
 - diffusive
- Most favoured diffusive mechanism: (first-order) Fermi acceleration.
- Wait for better UHECR correlation results from Auger!

- CRs have a rich spectrum:
 - Knee: 10¹⁵-10¹⁶ eV
 - ► Ankle: ~ 4 × 10¹⁹ eV
- Mechanism to accelerate to highest energies still unknown.
- However there are general constraints that limit possible sources, notably
 - Hillas criterion
 - Energy losses
- Acceleration can occur either as ...
 - one-shot
 - diffusive
- Most favoured diffusive mechanism: (first-order) Fermi acceleration.
- Wait for better UHECR correlation results from Auger!

- CRs have a rich spectrum:
 - Knee: 10¹⁵-10¹⁶ eV
 - Ankle: $\sim 4 \times 10^{19} \text{ eV}$
- Mechanism to accelerate to highest energies still unknown.
- However there are general constraints that limit possible sources, notably
 - Hillas criterion
 - Energy losses
- Acceleration can occur either as ...
 - one-shot
 - diffusive
- Most favoured diffusive mechanism: (first-order) Fermi acceleration.
- Wait for better UHECR correlation results from Auger!

- CRs have a rich spectrum:
 - Knee: 10¹⁵-10¹⁶ eV
 - ► Ankle: ~ 4 × 10¹⁹ eV
- Mechanism to accelerate to highest energies still unknown.
- However there are general constraints that limit possible sources, notably
 - Hillas criterion
 - Energy losses
- Acceleration can occur either as ...
 - one-shot
 - diffusive
- Most favoured diffusive mechanism: (first-order) Fermi acceleration.
- Wait for better UHECR correlation results from Auger!

- CRs have a rich spectrum:
 - Knee: 10¹⁵-10¹⁶ eV
 - ► Ankle: ~ 4 × 10¹⁹ eV
- Mechanism to accelerate to highest energies still unknown.
- However there are general constraints that limit possible sources, notably
 - Hillas criterion
 - Energy losses
- Acceleration can occur either as ...
 - one-shot
 - diffusive

• Most favoured diffusive mechanism: (first-order) Fermi acceleration.

- CRs have a rich spectrum:
 - Knee: 10¹⁵-10¹⁶ eV
 - ► Ankle: ~ 4 × 10¹⁹ eV
- Mechanism to accelerate to highest energies still unknown.
- However there are general constraints that limit possible sources, notably
 - Hillas criterion
 - Energy losses
- Acceleration can occur either as ...
 - one-shot
 - diffusive

• Most favoured diffusive mechanism: (first-order) Fermi acceleration.

- CRs have a rich spectrum:
 - Knee: 10¹⁵-10¹⁶ eV
 - ► Ankle: ~ 4 × 10¹⁹ eV
- Mechanism to accelerate to highest energies still unknown.
- However there are general constraints that limit possible sources, notably
 - Hillas criterion
 - Energy losses
- Acceleration can occur either as ...
 - one-shot
 - diffusive

• Most favoured diffusive mechanism: (first-order) Fermi acceleration.

- CRs have a rich spectrum:
 - Knee: 10¹⁵-10¹⁶ eV
 - ► Ankle: ~ 4 × 10¹⁹ eV
- Mechanism to accelerate to highest energies still unknown.
- However there are general constraints that limit possible sources, notably
 - Hillas criterion
 - Energy losses
- Acceleration can occur either as ...
 - one-shot
 - diffusive

• Most favoured diffusive mechanism: (first-order) Fermi acceleration.

- CRs have a rich spectrum:
 - Knee: 10¹⁵-10¹⁶ eV
 - ► Ankle: ~ 4 × 10¹⁹ eV
- Mechanism to accelerate to highest energies still unknown.
- However there are general constraints that limit possible sources, notably
 - Hillas criterion
 - Energy losses
- Acceleration can occur either as ...
 - one-shot
 - diffusive
- Most favoured diffusive mechanism: (first-order) Fermi acceleration.
- Wait for better UHECR correlation results from Auger!

Thanks!