

12-Apr-06	Tier-1		MC-T2	Tier-2			Planned Tier-2	
			Received		Association			
		T1 (%)	From T2 (%)		under discussion	%		%
Canada	TRIUMF	5.3	4.9				East-T2-Federation	2.6
							West-T2-Federation	2.3
France	CC-IN2P3	13.5	9.4	CC-IN2P3 T2		2		
				GRIF		2.6		
				LPC		0.8		
China					Beijing LCG2	2.5		
Romania					Romanian T2	1.5		
Germany	FZK-GridKA	10.5	11.6	DESY		3.6		
				Munich Fed.		1.7		
							Freiburg Uni.	1
							Wuppertal Uni.	1.2
Poland					Polish T2 Fed.	0.7		
Switzerland					CSCS Switzerland	3.4		
Italy	CNAF	7.5	8.2	INFN T2 Fed.		8.2		
Netherlands	SARA	13	15.1					
Czech Rep.					FZU AS	7.7		
Russia					Russian Federation	7.4		
Nordic	NDGF	5.5	1.5					
Slovenia							Ljubljana	1.5
Spain	PIC	5.5	6.4	ATLAS T2 Fed.		3.7		
Portugal					LIP T2	2.7		
Taiwan	ASGC	7.7	7.6	Taiwan AF Fed.		1		
Australia					Melbourne Uni.	1.5		
Japan					ICEPP Tokyo	5.1		
UK	RAL	7.5	15.4	Grid London		15.4		
				NorthGrid				
				ScotGrid				
				SouthGrid				
USA	BNL	24	19.9	Boston/Harvard T2		5.6		
				Midwest T2		5.6		
				Southwest		8.7		
NONE								
Austria							UIBK Innsbruck	
Brazil							Brazilian T2 Fed.	
Israel							HEP-IL Fed.	
Sum T2		100	100	15	9	91.4	8	8.6
Sum T2 (planned)						8.6		
Total						100		

T1(%)	Disk capacity at Tier-1 (% of full capacity needed in 2008)
From T2(%)	Data coming from MC simulation performed at Tier-2
Tier-2 (%)	Percentage of simulation performed at the Tier-2 (based on CPU capacity); including Tier-2s and Planned Tier-2s.