# <u>Lнср</u> Гнср

CITES.

-30

# <u>Importance of M1</u>

# for the L0 and L1 Triggers

314 315

Eduardo Rodrigues (NIKHEF)

## Setting the scene

- motivations
- > emulation of LO without the M1 station

## **Studies**

- > LO-muon comparisons with/without M1 (O. Leroy)
  - $\rightarrow$  "L0-Muon trigger without M1: status with DC04 data", Trigger meeting 24<sup>th</sup> Jan. 2005
- > LO bandwidth division without M1 (E. Rodrigues)
  - → "Overall L0 optimization without M1", Trigger meeting 7<sup>th</sup> Feb. 2005
- > luminosity issues related to M1 (H. Dijkstra)
  - $\rightarrow$  "M1 and luminosity", Trigger meeting 24<sup>th</sup> Jan. 2005
  - $\rightarrow$  "M1 and luminosity", T-Rec meeting 14<sup>th</sup> Feb. 2005

#### > L1 without M1 (L. de Paula)

310

→ "L1 without M1 – a first look", T-Rec meeting 28<sup>th</sup> Feb. 2005

LHCb Collaboration Week, 9th March 2005

2073



Vertex.

ACTION 1

-311

## Setting the scene

Via 314 315

#### Motivations

Magnet

#### - readiness of M1 for day one might not be granted

- > what are the consequences for the trigger if we do not have M1 from day 1?
- > what is a possible & reasonable scenario without M1?
- > can we in fact have an efficient (muon) trigger system without M1?

#### but

> M1 is used by the LO-muon trigger to compute the  $P_T$  of muon candidates

> it is also used at L1

310

#### **Emulation of LO without M1**

- no request of a M1 hit to select a muon candidate
- $P_T$  computation with M2 & M3 instead of M1 & M2

20m





| LHCP    | <u>_</u>   | . <b>0–Muo</b><br>Magnet | o <mark>n studies</mark>                            | s witho<br>SPDTS<br>ECAL  | <u>ut M1 (3/</u>                                    | 3) <sup>111</sup>  |
|---------|--|--------------------------|---|---------------------------|---|--|
|         | <mark>Single-μ</mark> L0<br>output rate<br>(kHz) | W<br>pT cut<br>(GeV/c)   | ith M1<br>B <sub>s</sub> →J/ψφ<br>efficiency<br>(%) | With<br>pT cut<br>(GeV/c) | out M1<br>B <sub>s</sub> →J/ψφ<br>efficiency<br>(%) | Relative<br>B <sub>s</sub> →J/ψφ<br>efficiency loss<br>(%) |
| Locator | 80   | 1.45                     | 85.6±0.4  | 2.56                      | 66.0±2.9  | -23  |
| C       | 139 (TDR)  | 1.30                     | 93.1±0.2  | 1.87                      | 85.8±1.1  | -8   |
|         | 220  | 1.04                     | 96.2±0.2  | 1.32                      | 93.0±1.0  | -3   |

- ✓ No M1 ⇒ drop of efficiency between 3 and 23% depending on the M. B. output rate
- ✓ Possibility to have the same  $B_s \rightarrow J/\psi\phi$  efficiency (93%) if single-µ output rate increased from 140 to 220kHz
  - ... but what is the loss for hadronic channels?

310

-301

Global cuts applied (pile-up system, SPD, ΣET>5GeV)

20m

- Di-muon and calorimeter sub-triggers ignored
- Fields of interest optimized in each case

3111

LHCb Collaboration Week, 9th March 2005



# **Single-channel optimization without M1**

ICAE

### Samples

LHCh

Ventex

ANTIOI DE

- 300

- set of (LHCb) benchmark channels

Magnet

> "representatives" of hadronic / electromagnetic / muon channels

3 RICH

#### Outcome

- single-channel optimizations with or without M1 give roughly

the same LO-max efficiencies

310

- > this means are roughly as at the time of the TDR
- > slightly worse for muon channels

(DC'04 data)

20m

| Ex.: | Channels                                  | L0 eff. Max. (%)<br>With M1 | L0 eff. Max. (%)<br>without M1 |
|------|---|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|
|      | B <sub>d</sub> -> ππ                      | 55.0 ± 0.9                  | $54.1 \pm 0.9$                 |
|      | $B_d$ -> J/ $\Psi(\mu\mu)$ K <sub>s</sub> | 95.4 ± 0.4                  | 94.5 ± 0.4                     |
|      | $B_s \rightarrow \phi \gamma$             | 76.0 ± 1.6                  | 76.2 ± 1.3                     |

|   | opunizado    |      | 710100 |  |
|---|--------------|------|--------|--|
|   |              |      |        |  |
| <b>Optimized cuts:</b>  | Optimized L0 | cuts | (GeV)  |  |
|   | Hadron       | =    | 3.60   |  |
|   | Electron     | =    | 2.60   |  |
|   | Photon       | =    | 2.70   |  |
| RICHI   | Pi0 Local    | =    | 4.50   |  |
|   | Pi0 Global   | =    | 3.70   |  |
| Difference (1991)   | Muon         | =    | 1.30   |  |
| 18 Junit 21   | Di-muon      | =    | 1.40   |  |
|   | Sum Et       | =    | 5.00   |  |
| A Construction of the second se |              |      | 2 0 0  |  |

化化化化化化化化化化化

-5m

## A re-optimization of the LO bandwidth is successful !

| Channels  | L0 eff. (%)<br>With M1 | L0 eff. (%)<br>without M1 |
|---|------------------------|---------------------------|
| $B_d \rightarrow \pi \pi$                       | 53.1 ± 0.9             | 52.5 ± 0.9                |
| $B_d \rightarrow K \pi$                         | 54.3 ± 0.8             | 53.8 ± 0.8                |
| B <sub>s</sub> -> KK                            | 53.3 ± 0.8             | 52.9 ± 0.8                |
| B <sub>d</sub> -> D* π                          | 51.0 ± 1.0             | 50.5 ± 1.2                |
| $B_{\rm d}$ -> J/ $\Psi(\mu\mu)$ K <sub>s</sub> | 93.5 ± 0.5             | 93.2 ± 0.5                |
| B <sub>d</sub> -> Κ*μμ                          | 95.5 ± 0.6             | 95.2 ± 0.6                |
| B <sub>s</sub> -> μμ                            | 98.1 ± 0.3             | 98.3 ± 0.3                |
| B <sub>s</sub> -> φγ                            | 72.1 ± 1.7             | 72.1 ± 1.4                |
| 2111  | 1011L                  | 16.111                    |

(DC'04 data)



| nex    | Channels                                     | HCAL               | ECAL                   | Muons      | <u>(DC'04 data</u> |
|--------|--|--------------------|------------------------|------------|--------------------|
| sater  | $B_d \rightarrow \pi \pi$                    | 44.4 ± 0.9         | 12.0 ± 0.6             | 9.3 ± 0.5  |                    |
| Star 1 | B <sub>s</sub> -> K K                        | $44.5 \pm 0.8$     | 11.5 ± 0.5             | 10.6 ± 0.5 | 1111               |
|        | $B_d$ -> J/Ψ(μμ) $K_s$                       | 17.6 ± 0.7         | 6.5 ± 0.5              | 92.1 ± 0.5 |                    |
|        | B <sub>d</sub> -> K*μμ                       | $19.0 \pm 1.1$     | 7.6 ± 0.8              | 94.5 ± 0.6 |                    |
|        | B <sub>s</sub> -> φγ                         | 30.7 ± 1.5         | 66.3 ± 1.5             | 11.7 ± 1.0 | 1.1.1              |
|        |  |                    |                        |            | 117                |
| - 5m   | Bandwidth on<br>minimum bias<br>events (kHz) | 608                | 231                    | 312        |                    |
|        | was ~ 700 kHz in TDR                         | /                  | Almost doubles compare | ed to TDR  | 75                 |
|        | Sun  | LHCb Collaboration | Week, 9th March 2005   |            | 1                  |









enter

-30

# L1 without M1 (3/4)

113 514 115

To recuperate the single- $\mu$  efficiency (42%)

Mannet

- -> need to increase its bandwidth from 8.8 to 18 kHz
- For the di-muon sub-trigger the efficiency drops from 26 to 18% even if one doubles its bandwidth (from 1.5 to 3 kHz)
- The J/ $\Psi$  sub-trigger efficiency drops from 50 to 30% going from 3 to 4.5 kHz
- $\Rightarrow$  set a possible operation point = "tuned BW":

|               | BW with M1 | BW without M1 & tuning |
|---------------|------------|------------------------|
| generic       | 29.4       | 25.2                   |
| single-µ      | 8.8        | 15.1                   |
| μμ            | 1.5        | 3.6                    |
| J <b>/</b> /Ψ | 3.1        | 4.4                    |
| electron      | 3.7        | 3.7                    |
| Photon        | 4.1        | 4.0                    |
| 2,111         | A 4 4 4 4  | 101111                 |

13





CHEN

ACTION 101

-310

# <u>Conclusions (1/2)</u>

13 14 115

## For Level-O

RICH

- stagging of the M1 station is not critical !
  - > without M1 the B<sub>s</sub> ->  $J/\Psi(\mu\mu)\phi$  efficiency decreases by up to ~20% depending on the running conditions (muon bandwidth)
  - Iosses in efficiency for muon channels can be recovered with a larger share of the LO bandwidth being taken by the muon triggers
  - > optimization of the LO bandwidth division also prevents the hadronic and electromagnetic channels from losses in efficiency
    - -> it is possible to find an operating point giving similar results as with M1
  - > these conclusions are rather independent on the luminosity

#### For Level-1

- losses are somewhat larger than at LO
  - > losses for muon channels ~ 10%

310

- > losses for hadronic channels ~6% (more checks with other channels needed)
  - ... can this be recovered using the T stations at L1 ... ? Very likely ...

2073

