Have we missed a Higgs at LEP?

J. Alcaraz
CIEMAT/CERN

ICHEP02
July 26, 2002

(Flavour independent searches and anomalous production and decay of Higgs bosons at LEP)

- Introduction. Dedicated searches
- Relaxing the assumptions
  - "All h,A" possibilities
  - "Flavour-independent" searches
  - "Model-independent" deviations
  - "Decay-independent" searches
- Summary

Yukawa searches for low mass Higgses

Triggered by





Higgs signature



4 GeV < m_h,m_A < 12 GeV

Large couplings to down-type quarks

h,A$\rightarrow b\bar{b}$ kinematically forbidden

OPAL: Nothing found





Disfavours a low mass Higgs explanation to any large δa_μ discrepancy

Low m_A, high m_h

Initially triggered by



Problematic region

Higgs signature



m_h large, m_A < 10 GeV



Highly boosted A $\Rightarrow$ 1 jet-like decay

OPAL: No excess observed



Most of the accesible parameter space excluded

Can we do it better? Two Higgs Doublet models



DELPHI: Model-independent 2HDM searches: Yukawa couplings

Upper bounds in terms of σ/σ_{ref}



DELPHI: Model-independent 2HDM searches: $e^+e^- \to hA$, $e^+e^- \to hZ$

95% CL excluded regions for $\sigma/\sigma_{ref} = 1$



$H \to b\bar{b}$ is really dominant?



- Main assumption: Higgs decays hadronically
- Main change in the studies: no b-tagging requirements

"Flavour-independent" searches: Results









Similar sensitivities for all four LEP experiments



$m_{\mathrm{H}} > 113$ GeV at 95% CL

"Flavour-independent" searches: do not forget the τ





$m_{\mathrm{H}} > 109.1$ GeV at 95% CL (ALEPH)
(100% BR into $\mathrm{q\bar{q}}$ or $\tau^+\tau^-$, SM production)

Higgs deviations from the SM from $\Lambda \gg \sqrt{s}$

- If the SM is an approximately correct description of Nature, the "true theory" from a new physics scale Λ looks at low energies like (flavour violations are neglected):

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{SM} + \sum_{n=6}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\Lambda^{n-4}} \sum_{j} \mathcal{O}_{nj}$$

- Already at dimension 6 some CP conserving anomalous HVV couplings, not excluded by LEP1 or lower energy experiments, may appear:



$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{SM} + g_{\mathrm{H}\gamma\gamma}\, \mathrm{H}\mathrm{A}_{\mu\nu}\mathrm{A}^{\mu\nu} + g^{(1)}_{\mathrm{HZ}_\gamma}\, \mathrm{A}_{\mu\nu}\mathrm{Z}^{\mu}\partial^{\nu}\mathrm{H} + g^{(2)}_{\mathrm{HZ}_\gamma}\, \mathrm{H}\mathrm{A}_{\mu\nu}\mathrm{Z}^{\mu\nu}$$

$$+ g^{(1)}_{\mathrm{HZZ}}\, \mathrm{Z}_{\mu\nu}\mathrm{Z}^{\mu}\partial^{\nu}\mathrm{H} + g^{(2)}_{\mathrm{HZZ}}\, \mathrm{H}\mathrm{Z}_{\mu\nu}\mathrm{Z}^{\mu\nu} + g^{(3)}_{\mathrm{HZZ}}\, \mathrm{H}\mathrm{Z}_{\mu}\mathrm{Z}^{\mu} + \ldots$$

Anomalous Higgs coupling ξ (Grzadowski and Wudka, Phys.Lett.B364 (1995)49)

Effect: Renormalization of the Higgs field, do not change BRs

Search: reinterpretation of SM Higgs searches ($\xi^2 = \sigma^{ZH}/\sigma^{ZH}_{SM}$)



Anomalous Higgs couplings Δg_1^Z, $\Delta\kappa_\gamma$ (usual WWV couplings)

Effect: Change in HWW, HZZ, $\mathrm{HZ}\gamma$ couplings

Searches: $\mathrm{e^+e^-} \to \mathrm{HZ}$ and $\mathrm{e^+e^-} \to \mathrm{H}\gamma \to \mathrm{Z}\gamma\gamma$





Anomalous Higgs couplings Δg_1^Z, $\Delta\kappa_\gamma$: NO EXCESS





$$| \Delta g_1^Z |, | \Delta\kappa_\gamma | \lesssim 0.5 \text{ at } 95\% \text{ CL} , m_{\mathrm{H}} \lesssim 160 \text{ GeV}$$

Anomalous Higgs couplings d, d_B (Gounaris et al., Nucl.Phys.B459 (1996)51)

Effect: Change everything, but mostly $H\gamma\gamma$ and $HZ\gamma$ couplings

Searches: $e^+e^- \to H\gamma$, $\gamma\gamma \to H$, . . . , with $H \to \gamma\gamma$ or $H \to Z\gamma$





Anomalous Higgs couplings d, d_B, NO EXCESS



$$| d | \lesssim 0.1, | d_B | \lesssim 0.05 \text{ at } 95\% \text{ CL}, m_{\mathrm{H}} \lesssim 160 \text{ GeV}$$

Alternative interpretation of d, d_B limits



Limits to $Br(\mathrm{H} \to \gamma\gamma)$ and $Br(\mathrm{H} \to \mathrm{Z}\gamma)$ in the region of LHC interest

And if we do not want to assume anything ...



- Aim: Efficiency should not depend on what happens on the Higgs side
- How: Use only $\mathrm{Z} \to \mathrm{e}^+\mathrm{e}^-$, $\mathrm{Z} \to \mu^+\mu^-$, maybe $\mathrm{Z} \to \nu\bar{\nu}$

OPAL: No excess found





For $\sigma/\sigma_{SM} = 1$, $m_{\mathrm{H}} > 81$ GeV at 95% CL

And if the Higgs is too wide . . .

- Stealthy Higgs Model: Higgs singlets (phions) do not interact with matter
- But they can interact with the SM Higgs doublet:

$$\Delta\Gamma_{\mathrm{H}} \to \frac{\omega^2 v^2}{32\pi m_{\mathrm{H}}^2},\ N_{phions} \to \infty$$





For $m_{\mathrm{H}} \approx \Gamma_{\mathrm{H}}$, $m_{\mathrm{H}} \gtrsim 50$ GeV at 95% CL

Summary

- Big efforts by the LEP Collaborations to be sure that we are not missing ANY Higgs
- Interesting studies in different directions by the different Collaborations
- For the moment, wherever we look for:
  NO EVIDENCE FOR A FUNDAMENTAL SCALAR