EUROPEAN ORGANIZATION FOR NUCLEAR RESEARCH

CERN PPE/93-173
September 21, 1993

Measurement of η_c Production in Untagged Two-Photon Collisions at LEP

L3 Collaboration

Abstract

A study of twelve distinct decay channels of the η_c has been performed with the L3 detector at LEP, for an integrated luminosity of 30 pb^{-1}. Summing all channels, 28 candidate events have been identified, with an estimated background of 11 events. The two-photon radiative width is evaluated to be $\Gamma_{\gamma\gamma}(\eta_c) = 8.0 \pm 2.3 \pm 2.4$ keV.

Submitted to Physics Letters B

1 Introduction

The $J^{PC} = 0^{-+}$ $c\bar{c}$ state, the η_c, may be produced at e^+e^- colliders via the photon-photon collision process $e^+e^- \to e^+e^-\eta_c$, where only the decay products of the η_c are observed in the detector. The outgoing e^+ and e^- are scattered with a large probability at small angles and take the main part of the initial energy. They are not considered in this analysis. The cross section for this process is proportional to the two-photon radiative width of the η_c, $\Gamma_{\gamma\gamma}(\eta_c)$. This quantity may be calculated in non-relativistic potential models of charmonium [1] and so the measurement provides a test of such models. The branching ratio $\Gamma_{\gamma\gamma}(\eta_c)/\Gamma(\eta_c)$ may be calculated in perturbative QCD [2], assuming the dominance of the two-gluon final state in hadronic η_c decays, permitting a measurement, to be made, of the QCD coupling constant α_s. A crude estimate of the value of $\Gamma_{\gamma\gamma}(\eta_c)$, based on a non-relativistic quark model, is provided by the ratio $\Gamma_{\gamma\gamma}(\eta_c)/\Gamma_{e^+e^-}(J) = 4/3$. The measured value $\Gamma_{e^+e^-}(J) = 5.4 \pm 0.3$ keV [3] leads to the estimate $\Gamma_{\gamma\gamma}(\eta_c) \simeq 7.2$ keV.

Previous measurements of $\Gamma_{\gamma\gamma}(\eta_c)$ at e^+e^- colliders have been made by PLUTO [4], TPC/2γ [5], CLEO [6] and ARGUS [7].

Here we report on the first measurement of $\Gamma_{\gamma\gamma}(\eta_c)$ at LEP.

2 The L3 Detector

The subdetectors of L3 [8] used in this analysis are: the charged particle tracker TEC (Time Expansion Chamber), the electromagnetic BGO calorimeter, and the small angle luminosity monitor.

The TEC is a cylindrical high resolution drift chamber with a sensitive region between 10 and 45 cm in the radial direction and a polar angle acceptance $13° \leq \theta \leq 167°$, in a magnetic field of 0.5 T. There are 62 layers of wires with a spatial resolution of $\simeq 50\mu m$, giving a p_T resolution $\sigma(p_T)/p_T^2 = 0.02(\text{ GeV})^{-1}$. Measurement of dE/dx in the TEC with a relative accuracy of about 10% provides the possibility to separate $\pi/K/p$ for momenta below 400 MeV. Fig 1 shows dE/dx versus momentum measured in the TEC for an event sample with enhanced kaon content; π, K and p bands are clearly visible.

The electromagnetic calorimeter, placed around the TEC, consists of about 11000 BGO crystals arranged in two half barrels with polar angle coverage $42° \leq \theta \leq 138°$ and two endcaps covering $11.6° \leq \theta \leq 38°$ and $142° \leq \theta \leq 168.4°$. The energy resolution of the BGO calorimeter is $\simeq 5$ % at 100 MeV and $\simeq 2.3$ % at 1 GeV.

The L3 detector is well suited to two-photon physics because of the large acceptance and high resolution of the BGO calorimeter for low energy photons and because of a charged track trigger [9], based on the TEC, which provides a wide angular coverage $25° \leq \theta \leq 155°$ and a low p_T threshold of approximately 100 MeV. This trigger requires at least one pair of charged tracks back to back, in the plane transverse to the beam, within $\pm 41°$.

3 Event Selection

The data were taken at LEP during the years 1991-92, for an integrated luminosity of $\mathcal{L} = 30pb^{-1}$. Signals for η_c production are sought in the following decay modes with a known branching ratios: $\eta_c \to \eta\pi\pi$ ($\eta \to \gamma\gamma, \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$), $\eta'\pi\pi$ ($\eta' \to \gamma\gamma, \pi^+\pi^-\gamma$), $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$, $K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-$, $\phi\phi$, $K^0_S K^\pm\pi^\mp$, $K^+K^-\pi^0$. All these decay channels contain two or four charged tracks. Candidate events are therefore selected with the following requirements [10]:

- Two or four good tracks in the TEC. A good track requires more than 19 hits out of a maximum of 62, thus limiting the angular acceptance to $27° \leq \theta \leq 153°$. For tracks originating from the interaction point we require a distance of closest approach (DCA) in the transverse plane of less than 3 mm.
- The total charge of the event must be zero.
- At least one track must correspond to a BGO cluster within 175 mrad in ϕ. When a charged particle is detected by the BGO the θ angle is defined by the cluster position ($\sigma_\theta \simeq 11$ mrad); otherwise the value is provided by the TEC ($\sigma_\theta \simeq 20$ mrad). The momentum and ϕ angle are always measured by the TEC.
- Photons are identified as a BGO cluster with an energy greater than 50 MeV and a minimum separation from the nearest track of 300 mrad in θ and 200 mrad in ϕ.
- Events with electrons, which are identified by a BGO energy deposition compatible with the TEC momentum, are removed from the present analysis.
- Beam-gas events are removed by identifying protons with the dE/dx measured by the TEC (Fig 1).
- Exclusive events are selected by requiring a total transverse momentum squared of all particles ($|\sum \vec{p_T}|^2$) smaller than 0.015 GeV^2 (Fig 2).

For momenta below 400 MeV a statistical separation between charged pions and kaons is possible using the momentum and dE/dx information from TEC, combined with the BGO energy deposition.

The π^0, η and η' are identified by their 2γ decays (Fig 3). A band of ± 30 MeV around the known mass value is used to identify π^0; the mass band is ± 60 MeV for η and η'. The η, η' are also recognised in the decay channels $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$, $\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$ (Fig 4) respectively, in bands of ± 60 MeV around the known mass values. The K^0_S is identified by requiring at least one track with the DCA greater than 5 mm and the corresponding $\pi^+\pi^-$ effective mass within ± 60 MeV of the K^0_S mass (Fig 5a). Candidates for $K^\star(892)$ are defined by an effective mass band of ± 60 MeV around the nominal mass value, allowing all possible $K^\pm\pi^\mp$ mass assignments (Fig 5b). The ϕ is defined by a ± 60 MeV wide band in K^+K^- effective mass around the nominal mass value, assuming the K mass hypothesis for each pair of the tracks in turn.

4 η_c Reconstruction

For each channel of interest 10000 Monte Carlo events were generated [11]. The two-photon Monte Carlo follows the formalism of [12] in generating the η_c pseudoscalar. The η_c decays were generated according to phase space. The detector acceptance $\mathcal{A}$ is determined by a full detector simulation, including the same analysis cuts as for real data.

Details of the 12 different final states which were studied are presented in Table 1. For each decay channel, entries are included for the measured branching ratio [3], the calculated detector acceptance, the number of observed events in the mass interval 2900 − 3100 MeV and the number of background events, estimated from an exponential fit of the mass distribution or from the $|\sum \vec{p_T}|^2$ distribution of each channel, excluding the signal region.

A total of 28 η_c candidates are found (Fig 6). A gaussian fit to the peak with an exponential background gives as parameters $M = (3003 \pm 15)$ MeV, $\sigma = (45 \pm 12)$ MeV compatible with the mass value given by the Particle Data Group (2979 ± 2) MeV [3]. The estimated average mass resolution of 60 MeV is consistent with the fitted value, and much larger than the total width of the η_c of (10 ± 4) MeV [3].

5 Determination of $\Gamma_{\gamma\gamma}(\eta_c)$

Assuming the dominance of virtual photon-photon collisions in the production of the η_c, the observed total cross section is given by the relation :

$$\sigma_{TOT}(e^+e^- \to \eta_c e^+e^-) = \int d^5\mathcal{L}_{\gamma\gamma}(\alpha_i) \cdot \sigma(\gamma^*\gamma^* \to \eta_c) \tag{1}$$

where $d^5\mathcal{L}_{\gamma\gamma}$ is the differential luminosity function [12], a pure QED factor giving the flux of virtual transverse photons. It is a function of the variables α_i $(i = 1, ..., 5)$ describing the energies and angles of the scattered electrons and positrons.

If the virtual photons are almost real:

$$\sigma(\gamma^*\gamma^* \to \eta_c) = 8\pi \left(\frac{m}{W}\right)^2 \frac{\Gamma_{\gamma\gamma}(\eta_c)\Gamma(\eta_c)}{(W^2 - m^2)^2 + m^2\Gamma^2(\eta_c)} \tag{2}$$

where W is the photon-photon center of mass energy and m the η_c mass

Equations (1) and (2) lead to a linear relation between the observed cross section for η_c production and $\Gamma_{\gamma\gamma}(\eta_c)$

$$\sigma_{TOT}(e^+e^- \to e^+e^-\eta_c) = \mathcal{K}\Gamma_{\gamma\gamma}(\eta_c)$$

We calculated the factor $\mathcal{K}$ by Monte Carlo integration [11].

For each channel the measured value of $\Gamma_{\gamma\gamma}(\eta_c)$ is then given by the relation

$$\Gamma_{\gamma\gamma}(\eta_c) = \frac{N_{obs} - N_{back}}{\mathcal{K} \cdot A \cdot \epsilon \cdot \mathcal{B}R \cdot \mathcal{L}}$$

where

N_{obs}	$=$	number of observed events
N_{back}	$=$	number of background events
$\mathcal{A}$	$=$	detector acceptance
ϵ	$=$	detector efficiency
$\mathcal{B}R$	$=$	η_c branching ratio
$\mathcal{L}$	$=$	integrated luminosity

The factor ϵ includes the average efficiency of the TEC trigger (0.95 ± 0.01), the reconstruction efficiency for a track (0.97 ± 0.02) and the matching efficiency between the TEC track and the BGO cluster which is 0.97 for two tracks events and 0.998 for four tracks events [10].

The value of $\Gamma_{\gamma\gamma}(\eta_c)$ best describing the number of observed events in the different channels is given by maximising the likelihood function:

$$L(\Gamma_{\gamma\gamma}(\eta_c)) = \prod_{i=1}^{12} P(N^i_{obs}|N^i_{exp})$$

where N_{exp} is the number of events expected to be seen in the detector and $P(n|m)$ is the Poisson distribution function.

The result thus obtained is

$$\Gamma_{\gamma\gamma}(\eta_c) = 8.0 \pm 2.3 \pm 2.4 \text{ keV}$$

where the first error is statistical and the second one is systematic. The systematic error is obtained by varying the background and the expected number of events according to gaussian distributions with variances given by their estimated errors. This error is dominated by the large uncertainty in the branching ratio: $BR(J \to \gamma\eta_c) = (1.27 \pm 0.36)\%$ since the branching ratios of all the η_c decay channels we have studied are determined in the reaction $J \to \gamma\eta_c$ relative to the total η_c width observed in the inclusive γ spectrum [3]. The correlated errors on the branching ratios of the different channels were taken into account in estimating the systematic error. Variation of the cuts in the region of their nominal values was found to give a negligible contribution (≈ 0.15 keV) to the systematic error.

The present result is in agreement with the estimation from the $J \to e^+e^-$ width and is consistent with previous measurements.

6 Acknowledgments

We wish to express our gratitude to the CERN accelerator division for the excellent performance of the LEP machine. We acknowledge the efforts of all engineers and technicians who have participated in the construction and maintenance of this experiment.

The L3 Collaboration:

O.Adriani,[15] M.Aguilar-Benitez,[24] S.Ahlen,[9] J.Alcaraz,[16] A.Aloisio,[27] G.Alverson,[10] M.G.Alviggi,[27] G.Ambrosi,[32] Q.An,[17] H.Anderhub,[46] A.L.Anderson,[14] V.P.Andreev,[36] T.Angelescu,[11] L.Antonov,[40] D.Antreasyan,[7] P.Arce,[24] A.Arefiev,[26] A.Atamanchuk,[36] T.Azemoon,[3] T.Aziz,[8] P.V.K.S.Baba,[17] P.Bagnaia,[35] J.A.Bakken,[34] R.C.Ball,[3] S.Banerjee,[8] J.Bao,[5] R.Barillère,[16] L.Barone,[35] A.Baschirotto,[25] R.Battiston,[32] A.Bay,[18] F.Becattini,[15] J.Bechtluft,[1] R.Becker,[1] U.Becker,[14,46] F.Behner,[46] J.Behrens,[46] Gy.L.Bencze,[12] J.Berdugo,[24] P.Berges,[14] B.Bertucci,[32] B.L.Betev,[40,46] M.Biasini,[32] A.Biland,[46] G.M.Bilei,[32] R.Bizzarri,[35] J.J.Blaising,[4] G.J.Bobbink,[16,2] R.Bock,[1] A.Böhm,[1] B.Borgia,[35] M.Bosetti,[25] D.Bourilkov,[29] M.Bourquin,[18] D.Boutigny,[16] B.Bouwens,[2] E.Brambilla,[27] J.G.Branson,[37] I.C.Brock,[33] M.Brooks,[22] A.Bujak,[43] J.D.Burger,[14] W.J.Burger,[18] J.Busenitz,[42] A.Buytenhuijs,[29] X.D.Cai,[17] M.Capell,[14] M.Caria,[32] G.Carlino,[27] A.M.Cartacci,[15] R.Castello,[25] M.Cerrada,[24] F.Cesaroni,[35] Y.H.Chang,[14] U.K.Chaturvedi,[17] M.Chemarin,[23] A.Chen,[48] C.Chen,[6] G.Chen,[6] G.M.Chen,[6] H.F.Chen,[19] H.S.Chen,[6] M.Chen,[14] W.Y.Chen,[48] G.Chiefari,[27] C.Y.Chien,[5] M.T.Choi,[41] S.Chung,[14] C.Civinini,[15] I.Clare,[14] R.Clare,[14] T.E.Coan,[22] H.O.Cohn,[30] G.Coignet,[4] N.Colino,[16] A.Contin,[7] S.Costantini,[35] F.Cotorobai,[11] X.T.Cui,[17] X.Y.Cui,[17] T.S.Dai,[14] R.D'Alessandro,[15] R.de Asmundis,[27] A.Degré,[4] K.Deiters,[44] E.Dénes,[12] P.Denes,[34] F.DeNotaristefani,[35] M.Dhina,[46] D.DiBitonto,[42] M.Diemoz,[35] H.R.Dimitrov,[40] C.Dionisi,[35] M.Dittmar,[46] L.Djambazov,[46] M.T.Dova,[17] E.Drago,[27] D.Duchesneau,[18] P.Duinker,[2] I.Duran,[38] S.Easo,[32] H.El Mamouni,[23] A.Engler,[33] F.J.Eppling,[14] F.C.Erné,[2] P.Extermann,[18] R.Fabbretti,[44] M.Fabre,[44] S.Falciano,[35] S.J.Fan,[39] O.Fackler,[21] J.Fay,[23] M.Felcini,[16] T.Ferguson,[33] D.Fernandez,[24] G.Fernandez,[24] F.Ferroni,[35] H.Fesefeldt,[1] E.Fiandrini,[32] J.H. Field,[18] F.Filthaut,[29] P.H.Fisher,[5] G.Forconi,[18] L.Fredj,[18] K.Freudenreich,[46] W.Friebel,[45] M.Fukushima,[14] M.Gailloud,[20] Yu.Galaktionov,[26,14] E.Gallo,[15] S.N.Ganguli,[16,8] P.Garcia-Abia,[24] D.Gele,[23] S.Gentile,[35] N.Gheordanescu,[11] S.Giagu,[35] S.Goldfarb,[20] Z.F.Gong,[19] E.Gonzalez,[24] A.Gougas,[5] D.Goujon,[18] G.Gratta,[31] M.Gruenewald,[16] C.Gu,[17] M.Guanziroli,[17] J.K.Guo,[39] V.K.Gupta,[34] A.Gurtu,[8] H.R.Gustafson,[3] L.J.Gutay,[43] K.Hangarter,[1] B.Hartmann,[1] A.Hasan,[17] D.Hauschildt,[2] C.F.He,[39] J.T.He,[6] T.Hebbeker,[16] M.Hebert,[37] A.Hervé,[16] K.Hilgers,[1] H.Hofer,[46] H.Hoorani,[18] G.Hu,[17] G.Q.Hu,[39] B.Ille,[23] M.M.Ilyas,[17] V.Innocente,[16] H.Janssen,[16] S. Jezequel,[4] B.N.Jin,[6] L.W.Jones,[3] I.Josa-Mutuberria,[16] A.Kasser,[20] R.A.Khan,[17] Yu.Kamyshkov,[30] P.Kapinos,[36,45] J.S.Kapustinsky,[22] Y.Karyotakis,[16] M.Kaur,[17] S.Khokhar,[17] M.N.Kienzle-Focacci,[18] J.K.Kim,[41] S.C.Kim,[41] Y.G.Kim,[41] W.W.Kinnison,[22] A.Kirkby,[31] D.Kirkby,[31] S.Kirsch,[45] W.Kittel,[29] A.Klimentov,[14,26] R.Klöckner,[1] A.C.König,[29] E.Koffeman,[2] O.Kornadt,[1] V.Koutsenko,[14,26] A.Koulbardis,[36] R.W.Kraemer,[33] T.Kramer,[14] V.R.Krastev,[40,32] W.Krenz,[1] A.Krivshich,[36] H.Kuijten,[29] K.S.Kumar,[13] A.Kunin,[14,26] G.Landi,[15] D.Lanske,[1] S.Lanzano,[27] A.Lebedev,[14] P.Lebrun,[23] P.Lecomte,[46] P.Lecoq,[16] P.Le Coultre,[46] D.M.Lee,[22] J.S.Lee,[41] K.Y.Lee,[41] I.Leedom,[10] C.Leggett,[3] J.M.Le Goff,[16] R.Leiste,[45] M.Lenti,[15] E.Leonardi,[35] C.Li,[19,17] H.T.Li,[6] P.J.Li,[39] J.Y.Liao,[39] W.T.Lin,[48] Z.Y.Lin,[19] F.L.Linde,[2] B.Lindemann,[1] L.Lista,[27] Y.Liu,[17] W.Lohmann,[45] E.Longo,[35] Y.S.Lu,[6] J.M.Lubbers,[16] K.Lübelsmeyer,[1] C.Luci,[35] D.Luckey,[7,14] L.Ludovici,[35] L.Luminari,[35] W.Lustermann,[45] J.M.Ma,[6] W.G.Ma,[19] M.MacDermott,[46] R.Malik,[17] A.Malinin,[26] C.Maña,[24] M.Maolinbay,[46] P.Marchesini,[46] F.Marion,[4] A.Marin,[9] J.P.Martin,[23] L.Martinez-Laso,[24] F.Marzano,[35] G.G.G.Massaro,[2] K.Mazumdar,[18] P.McBride,[13] T.McMahon,[43] D.McNally,[46] M.Merk,[33] L.Merola,[27] M.Meschini,[15] W.J.Metzger,[29] Y.Mi,[20] A.Mihul,[11] G.B.Mills,[22] Y.Mir,[17] G.Mirabelli,[35] J.Mnich,[1] M.Möller,[1] B.Monteleoni,[15] R.Morand,[4] S.Morganti,[35] N.E.Moulai,[17] R.Mount,[31] S.Müller,[1] A.Nadtochy,[36] E.Nagy,[12] M.Napolitano,[27] F.Nessi-Tedaldi,[46] H.Newman,[31] C.Neyer,[46] M.A.Niaz,[17] A.Nippe,[1] H.Nowak,[45] G.Organtini,[35] D.Pandoulas,[1] S.Paoletti,[35] P.Paolucci,[27] G.Pascale,[35] G.Passaleva,[15,32] S.Patricelli,[27] T.Paul,[5] M.Pauluzzi,[32] C.Paus,[1] F.Pauss,[46] Y.J.Pei,[1] S.Pensotti,[25] D.Perret-Gallix,[4] J.Perrier,[18] A.Pevsner,[5] D.Piccolo,[27] M.Pieri,[16] P.A.Piroué,[34] F.Plasil,[30] V.Plyaskin,[26] M.Pohl,[46] V.Pojidaev,[26,15] H.Postema,[14] Z.D.Qi,[39] J.M.Qian,[3] K.N.Qureshi,[17] R.Raghavan,[8] G.Rahal-Callot,[46] P.G.Rancoita,[25] M.Rattaggi,[25] G.Raven,[2] P.Razis,[28] K.Read,[30] D.Ren,[46] Z.Ren,[17] M.Rescigno,[35] S.Reucroft,[10] A.Ricker,[1] S.Riemann,[45] B.C.Riemers,[43] K.Riles,[3] O.Rind,[3] H.A.Rizvi,[17] S.Ro,[41] F.J.Rodriguez,[24] B.P.Roe,[3] M.Röhner,[1] L.Romero,[24] S.Rosier-Lees,[4] R.Rosmalen,[29] Ph.Rosselet,[20] W.van Rossum,[2] S.Roth,[1] A.Rubbia,[14] J.A.Rubio,[16] H.Rykaczewski,[46] M.Sachwitz,[45] J.Salicio,[16] J.M.Salicio,[24] G.S.Sanders,[22] A.Santocchia,[32] M.S.Sarakinos,[14] G.Sartorelli,[7,17] M.Sassowsky,[1] G.Sauvage,[4] V.Schegelsky,[36] D.Schmitz,[1] P.Schmitz,[1] M.Schneegans,[4] H.Schopper,[47] D.J.Schotanus,[29] S.Shotkin,[14] H.J.Schreiber,[45] J.Shukla,[33] R.Schulte,[1] S.Schulte,[1] K.Schultze,[1] J.Schwenke,[1] G.Schwering,[1] C.Sciacca,[27] I.Scott,[13] R.Sehgal,[17] P.G.Seiler,[44] J.C.Sens,[16,2] L.Servoli,[32] I.Sheer,[37] D.Z.Shen,[39] S.Shevchenko,[31] X.R.Shi,[31] E.Shumilov,[26] V.Shoutko,[26] D.Son,[41] A.Sopczak,[16] V.Soulimov,[27] C.Spartiotis,[5] T.Spickermann,[1] P.Spillantini,[15] R.Starosta,[1] M.Steuer,[7,14] D.P.Stickland,[34] F.Sticozzi,[14] H.Stone,[34] K.Strauch,[13] B.C.Stringfellow,[43] K.Sudhakar,[8] G.Sultanov,[17] L.Z.Sun,[19,17] G.F.Susinno,[18] H.Suter,[46] J.D.Swain,[17] A.A.Syed,[29] X.W.Tang,[6] L.Taylor,[10] G.Terzi,[25] Samuel C.C.Ting,[14] S.M.Ting,[14] M.Tonutti,[1] S.C.Tonwar,[8] J.Tóth,[12] A.Tsaregorodtsev,[36] G.Tsipolitis,[33] C.Tully,[34] K.L.Tung,[6] J.Ulbricht,[46] L.Urbán,[12] U.Uwer,[1] E.Valente,[35] R.T.Van de Walle,[29] I.Vetlitsky,[26] G.Viertel,[46] P.Vikas,[17] U.Vikas,[17] M.Vivargent,[4] H.Vogel,[33] H.Vogt,[45] I.Vorobiev,[13,26] A.A.Vorobyov,[36] L.Vuilleumier,[20] M.Wadhwa,[4] W.Wallraff,[1] C.Wang,[14] C.R.Wang,[19] X.L.Wang,[19] Y.F.Wang,[14] Z.M.Wang,[17,19] C.Warner,[1] A.Weber,[1] J.Weber,[46] R.Weill,[20] T.J.Wenaus,[21] J.Wenninger,[18] M.White,[14] C.Willmott,[24] F.Wittgenstein,[16] D.Wright,[34] S.X.Wu,[17] S.Wynhoff,[1] B.Wysłouch,[14] Y.Y.Xie,[39] J.G.Xu,[6] Z.Z.Xu,[19] Z.L.Xue,[39] D.S.Yan,[39] B.Z.Yang,[19] C.G.Yang,[6] G.Yang,[17] C.H.Ye,[17] J.B.Ye,[19] Q.Ye,[17] S.C.Yeh,[48] Z.W.Yin,[39] J.M.You,[17] N.Yunus,[17] M.Yzerman,[2] C.Zaccardelli,[31] N.Zaitsev,[27] P.Zemp,[46] M.Zeng,[17] Y.Zeng,[1] D.H.Zhang,[2] Z.P.Zhang,[19,17] B.Zhou,[9] G.J.Zhou,[6] J.F.Zhou,[1] R.Y.Zhu,[31] A.Zichichi,[7,16,17] B.C.C.van der Zwaan.[2]

1 I. Physikalisches Institut, RWTH, W-5100 Aachen, FRG[§]
III. Physikalisches Institut, RWTH, W-5100 Aachen, FRG[§]
2 National Institute for High Energy Physics, NIKHEF, NL-1009 DB Amsterdam, The Netherlands
3 University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA
4 Laboratoire d'Annecy-le-Vieux de Physique des Particules, LAPP,IN2P3-CNRS, BP 110, F-74941 Annecy-le-Vieux CEDEX, France
5 Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD 21218, USA
6 Institute of High Energy Physics, IHEP, 100039 Beijing, China
7 INFN-Sezione di Bologna, I-40126 Bologna, Italy
8 Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay 400 005, India
9 Boston University, Boston, MA 02215, USA
10 Northeastern University, Boston, MA 02115, USA
11 Institute of Atomic Physics and University of Bucharest, R-76900 Bucharest, Romania
12 Central Research Institute for Physics of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, H-1525 Budapest 114, Hungary[‡]
13 Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA
14 Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA
15 INFN Sezione di Firenze and University of Florence, I-50125 Florence, Italy
16 European Laboratory for Particle Physics, CERN, CH-1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland
17 World Laboratory, FBLJA Project, CH-1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland
18 University of Geneva, CH-1211 Geneva 4, Switzerland
19 Chinese University of Science and Technology, USTC, Hefei, Anhui 230 029, China
20 University of Lausanne, CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland
21 Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, CA 94550, USA
22 Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM 87544, USA
23 Institut de Physique Nucléaire de Lyon, IN2P3-CNRS,Université Claude Bernard, F-69622 Villeurbanne Cedex, France
24 Centro de Investigaciones Energeticas, Medioambientales y Tecnologicas, CIEMAT, E-28040 Madrid, Spain
25 INFN-Sezione di Milano, I-20133 Milan, Italy
26 Institute of Theoretical and Experimental Physics, ITEP, Moscow, Russia
27 INFN-Sezione di Napoli and University of Naples, I-80125 Naples, Italy
28 Department of Natural Sciences, University of Cyprus, Nicosia, Cyprus
29 University of Nymegen and NIKHEF, NL-6525 ED Nymegen, The Netherlands
30 Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN 37831, USA
31 California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA
32 INFN-Sezione di Perugia and Universitá Degli Studi di Perugia, I-06100 Perugia, Italy
33 Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA 15213, USA
34 Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544, USA
35 INFN-Sezione di Roma and University of Rome, "La Sapienza", I-00185 Rome, Italy
36 Nuclear Physics Institute, St. Petersburg, Russia
37 University of California, San Diego, CA 92093, USA
38 Dept. de Fisica de Particulas Elementales, Univ. de Santiago, E-15706 Santiago de Compostela, Spain
39 Shanghai Institute of Ceramics, SIC, Shanghai, China
40 Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Institute of Mechatronics, BU-1113 Sofia, Bulgaria
41 Center for High Energy Physics, Korea Advanced Inst. of Sciences and Technology, 305-701 Taejon, Republic of Korea
42 University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, AL 35486, USA
43 Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN 47907, USA
44 Paul Scherrer Institut, PSI, CH-5232 Villigen, Switzerland
45 DESY-Institut für Hochenergiephysik, O-1615 Zeuthen, FRG
46 Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule, ETH Zürich, CH-8093 Zürich, Switzerland
47 University of Hamburg, W-2000 Hamburg, FRG
48 High Energy Physics Group, Taiwan, China

§ Supported by the German Bundesministerium für Forschung und Technologie
‡ Supported by the Hungarian OTKA fund under contract number 2970.

References

[1] W.Kwong, J.L. Rosner and C. Quigg, Ann.Rev.Nucl.Sci. 37 (1987) 325.

[2] W.Kwong et al. Phys. Rev. D 37 (1988) 3210.

[3] Particle Data Group, K.Hikasa et al., Review of particle properties, Phys. Rev. D 45 (1992).

[4] Ch.Berger et al. Phys. Lett.B 167 (1986) 120.

[5] H.Aihara et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 60 (1988) 2355.

[6] W.Y.Chen et al. Phys. Lett. B 243 (1990) 169.

[7] E.Kriznic in IXth International Workshop on Photon-Photon Collisions, UC San Diego 1992, 176. Edited by D.O. Caldwell, H.P.Paar. World Scientific.

[8] B.Adeva et al. Nucl. Instrum. Methods A289 (1990) 35.

[9] P.Bené et al. Nucl. Instrum. Methods A306 (1991) 150.

[10] G.Forconi, "Algorithmes de reconstruction pour le filtrage en ligne et applications à la mesure de la section efficace $e^+e^- \to e^+e^-\eta_c$", Ph.D.Thesis N^o 2617, University of Geneva (1993).

[11] F.L.Linde, "Charm production in two-photon collisions", Ph.D.Thesis, Rijksuniversiteit Leiden (1988).

[12] V.M.Budnev, I.F.Ginzburg, G.V.Meledin and V.G.Serbo, Phys. Rep. 15 (1975) 181.

Channel	$\mathcal{B}R$ [3] %	Acceptance %	N_{obs}	N_{back}
$\eta\pi^+\pi^-$ ($\eta \Rightarrow \gamma\gamma$)	1.27 $\pm$ 0.47	2.4 $\pm$ 0.1	1	0.
$\eta\pi^+\pi^-$ ($\eta \Rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$)	0.77 $\pm$ 0.28	0.53 $\pm$ 0.07	2	0.8 $\pm$ 0.6
$\eta'\pi^+\pi^-$ ($\eta' \Rightarrow \rho^0\gamma$)	0.82 $\pm$ 0.34	5.2$\pm$ 0.2	2	0.5 $\pm$ 0.3
$\eta'\pi^+\pi^-$ ($\eta' \Rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta$)	0.47 $\pm$ 0.19	1.3 $\pm$ 0.2	0	0.5 $\pm$0.6
$\eta'\pi^+\pi^-$ ($\eta' \Rightarrow \gamma\gamma$)	0.06 $\pm$ 0.02	3.0 $\pm$ 0.2	1	0.
$\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$	1.2 $\pm$ 0.4	3.7 $\pm$ 0.1	8	1.7$\pm$0.3
$K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-$	2.0 $\pm$ 0.7	3.6 $\pm$ 0.2	4	3.2$\pm$0.4
$K^{\star 0}K^\pm\pi^\mp$ ($K^{\star 0} \Rightarrow K^\mp\pi^\pm$)	1.33 $\pm$ 0.46	6.6 $\pm$ 0.2	5	2.9$\pm$0.3
$K^{\star 0}K^{\star 0}$ ($K^{\star 0} \Rightarrow K^\pm\pi^\mp$)	0.19 $\pm$ 0.06	5.8 $\pm$ 0.3	1	0.5$\pm$0.2
$\phi\phi$ ($\phi \Rightarrow K^-K^+$)	0.17 $\pm$ 0.07	5.6 $\pm$ 0.2	0	0.
$K^0_SK^\pm\pi^\mp$ ($K^0_S \Rightarrow \pi^-\pi^+$)	1.51 $\pm$ 0.41	6.9 $\pm$ 0.3	3	0.5$\pm$0.2
$K^+K^-\pi^0$	1.10 $\pm$ 0.30	3.8 $\pm$ 0.2	1	0.2$\pm$0.1

Table 1: The η_c decay modes explored in this analysis with their measured branching ratio, the detector acceptance, the number of observed events in the mass interval 2900-3100 MeV and their estimated background.

Figure captions

Figure 1: dE/dx versus TEC momentum. Four charged particle events are selected as candidates for untagged photon-photon collisions where the mass of at least one pair of particles corresponds to K_S, K^* or ϕ.
The track with the highest dE/dx in the event is plotted. The protons come predominantly from beam gas contamination.

Figure 2: Distribution of the total transverse momentum squared for events with at least 4 charged particles.

Figure 3: Two-photon effective mass distribution measured with the BGO electromagnetic calorimeter: a) around the π^0 mass, b) around the η mass after π^0 suppression, c) around the η' mass after η suppression.

Figure 4: $\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$ effective mass.

Figure 5: Distribution of the effective mass of two charged tracks when four tracks are seen in the event. a) At least one track with DCA greater than 5 mm, b) with DCA smaller than 3 mm and at least one of the four tracks recognised as a kaon, identified by the dE/dx information from TEC and by the BGO energy deposition. The effective mass of the four tracks is selected to be greater than 2.6 GeV.

Figure 6: Effective mass distribution summed over the 12 channels in Table 1.
The background is fitted by an exponential function. The η_c peak is fitted by a gaussian curve.