Supplementary material for LHCb-PAPER-2015-013



Figure 1: Distributions in $m_{\rm corr}$ of $\Lambda_b^0 \to p\mu^- \overline{\nu}_{\mu}$ and $\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda_c^+ \mu^- \overline{\nu}_{\mu}$ candidates selected candidates in the simulation. In bold (thin) line for events with the estimated uncertainty in $m_{\rm corr}$ below 100 MeV/ c^2 (above 200 MeV/ c^2). It can be seen how the separation between the $\Lambda_b^0 \to p\mu^- \overline{\nu}_{\mu}$ signal and the $\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda_c^+ \mu^- \overline{\nu}_{\mu}$ background improves dramatically by selecting events with low estimated uncertainty.



Figure 2: Comparison of the $|V_{ub}|$ result presented in the paper with the measurement obtained from inclusive decays and determinations using the exclusive decay $B \to \pi \ell \nu$.



Figure 3: Mass fit to $\Lambda_b^0 \to (\Lambda_c^+ \to pK^-\pi^+)\mu^-\overline{\nu}_{\mu}$ candidates used to control the level of this background for the $\Lambda_b^0 \to p\mu^-\overline{\nu}_{\mu}$ signal fit.



Figure 4: Mass fit to $\Lambda_b^0 \to (\Lambda_c^+ \to p K_s^0) \mu \nu$ candidates used to control the level of this background for the $\Lambda_b^0 \to p \mu^- \overline{\nu}_{\mu}$ signal fit.



Figure 5: Mass fit to $\Lambda_b^0 \to (D^0 \to K^- \pi^+) p \mu \nu$ candidates used to control the level of this background for the $\Lambda_b^0 \to p \mu^- \overline{\nu}_{\mu}$ signal fit.



Figure 6: Two-dimensional plot, showing the constraints on $|V_{ub}|$ and $|V_{cb}|$. The existing $|V_{ub}|$ and $|V_{cb}|$ measurements are taken from the PDG 2015 update.