1 Supplementary material for LHCb-PAPER-2015-057



Figure 4: (left) Decay-time evolution of the background-subtracted and efficiency corrected WS/RS ratio (points) with the results of the mixing-constrained fit superimposed (solid line). The bin centres are set to the decay-time where R(t) is equal to the bin integrated ratio \tilde{R} , (right) confidence-level (CL) regions in the $\mathcal{Z}^{K3\pi}$ Argand plane taken from the mixing-constrained fit. Here $\mathcal{Z}^{K3\pi} \equiv R_D^{K3\pi} e^{-i\delta_D^{K3\pi}}$ is the complex interference parameter, as defined in Ref. [15].



Figure 5: Confidence-level (CL) regions in the (left) $(R_D^{K3\pi}, \delta_D^{K3\pi})$ plane, (right) $\mathcal{Z}^{K3\pi}$ Argand plane, taken from the mixing-constrained fit. Here $\mathcal{Z}^{K3\pi} \equiv R_D^{K3\pi} e^{-i\delta_D^{K3\pi}}$ is the complex interference parameter, as defined in Ref. [15]. The contours from LHCb (solid lines) are overlaid on the contours from CLEO [30] (dashed lines).



Figure 6: (left) Decay-time evolution of the additive correction used to correct the WS/RS ratio for the presence of misidentified backgrounds. (right) The decay-time evolution of the multiplicative correction used to correct the WS/RS ratio for efficiency differences between WS and RS decays.



Figure 7: (left) Estimated secondary fraction as a function of decay-time. (right) The decay-time evolution of the measured WS/RS ratio both before (black points) and after (red points) background-subtractions and efficiency corrections are applied.



Figure 8: The background-subtracted $\chi^2_{\rm IP}(D^0)$ distribution of RS candidates (points). A fit is performed to this distribution with a prompt (blue shaded area) and secondary (red hashed area) component: the PDF describing the former is determined from signal candidates with decay-times smaller than 0.8τ ; the PDF describing the latter is found from a subsample of candidates that are also compatible with the decay chain $B \to D^* \mu X$. The vertical dotted line indicates the selection requirement used in the analysis.



Figure 9: Confidence-level (CL) regions in the $(\frac{1}{4}(x^2 + y^2), R_D^{K3\pi} \cdot y'_{K3\pi})$ plane taken from the mixing-allowed fit. The contours contain 68.3% (1σ) , 95.4% (2σ) , 99.7% (3σ) , $1 - 6.33 \times 10^{-5}$ (4σ) and $1 - 5.73 \times 10^{-7}$ (5σ) CLs. The no-mixing hypothesis is indicated with a black cross, and is excluded at a significance level of 8.2 standard deviations.