Systematic source	Uncertainty		
	$e au_h$	$\mu  au_{ m h}$	$ au_{ m h} au_{ m h}$
Normalization			
Luminosity *	2.5%	2.5%	2.5%
Electron identification	8%	—	—
Electron trigger	2%		—
Muon identification	—	2%	—
Muon trigger	—	2%	—
$\tau_{\rm h}$ identification *	5%	5%	10%
$ au_{ m h}$ trigger *	—	—	10%
b tagging efficiency *	3%	3%	3%
b tagging misidentification rate *	5%	5%	5%
QCD multijet normalization	30%	30%	—
W+jets normalization	30%	30%	—
$Z/\gamma * \rightarrow \ell \ell$ cross section *	30%	30%	30%
tt cross section *	5.5%	5.5%	5.5%
Diboson cross section *	6%	6%	6%
Single top quark cross section *	5.5%	5.5%	5.5%
$e \rightarrow \tau_h$ misidentification rate	12%	—	—
$\mu  ightarrow  au_{ m h}$ misidentification rate	—	25%	—
Shape			
$ au_{ m h}$ energy scale *		$\pm 3\%$	
$ au_{ m h}$ identification extrapolation *	$+5\% p_{\rm T}(\tau_{\rm h})$ and $-35\% p_{\rm T}(\tau_{\rm h})$		
Jet energy scale *	$\pm 1$ standard deviation [?]		
Jet $ ightarrow  au_{ m h}$ misidentification rate *	Described in the text (only $\ell \tau_h$ channels)		
Fake-factor method	Described in the text (only $\tau_h \tau_h$ channel)		
Simulated sample size	Statistical uncertainty in individual bins		