

Source	#	Description	Range
Pileup			
<i>PileUpDataMC</i>	01	Data vs. MC simulation offset with random cone (RC) method	$ \eta < 5.2$
<i>PileUpPtRef</i>	02	True offset vs. RC \otimes absolute p_T	$ \eta < 5.2$
<i>PileUpPtBB</i>	03	True offset vs. RC \otimes relative η	$ \eta < 1.3$
<i>PileUpPtEC1</i>	04	True offset vs. RC \otimes relative η	$1.3 < \eta < 2.5$
<i>PileUpPtEC2</i>	05	True offset vs. RC \otimes relative η	$2.5 < \eta < 3.0$
<i>PileUpPtHF</i>	06	True offset vs. RC \otimes relative η	$3.0 < \eta < 5.2$
(alternative source)			
<i>PileUpMuZero</i>	02-06b	True offset vs. RC \otimes residual JES for $\langle \mu \rangle = 0$	$ \eta < 5.2$
(benchmark source)			
<i>PileUpEnvelope</i>	02-06c	True offset vs. RC \times 60%	$ \eta < 5.2$
Relative JES (vs. η)			
<i>RelativeJEREC1</i>	07	Jet p_T resolution	$1.3 < \eta < 2.5$
<i>RelativeJEREC2</i>	08	Jet p_T resolution	$2.5 < \eta < 3.0$
<i>RelativeJERHF</i>	09	Jet p_T resolution	$3.0 < \eta < 5.2$
<i>RelativeFSR</i>	10	ISR+FSR correction	$ \eta < 5.2$
<i>RelativeStatFSR</i>	11	ISR+FSR statistical uncertainty	$ \eta < 5.2$
<i>RelativeStatEC2</i>	12	Statistical uncertainty	$2.5 < \eta < 3.0$
<i>RelativeStatHF</i>	13	Statistical uncertainty	$3.0 < \eta < 5.2$
<i>RelativePtBB</i>	14	Log-lin. vs. flat fit \times 50%	$ \eta < 1.3$
<i>RelativePtEC1</i>	15	Log-lin. vs. flat fit \times 50%	$1.3 < \eta < 2.5$
<i>RelativePtEC2</i>	16	Log-lin. vs. flat fit \times 50%	$2.5 < \eta < 3.0$
<i>RelativePtHF</i>	17	Log-lin. vs. flat fit \times 50%	$3.0 < \eta < 5.2$
<i>TimeEta</i>	18	Relative η time dependence	$ \eta < 5.2$
Absolute JES (vs. p_T)			
<i>AbsoluteScale</i>	19	Lepton scale, $\pm 0.11\%$	$ \eta < 5.2$
<i>AbsoluteMPFBias</i>	20	MPF bias, $\pm 0.28\%$ (from ν 's \oplus ISR acceptance, $0.2\% \oplus 0.2\%$)	$ \eta < 5.2$
<i>AbsoluteStat</i>	21	Statistical uncertainty vs. p_T	$ \eta < 5.2$
<i>SinglePionECAL</i>	22	Single-pion response in ECAL, $\pm 4.2\%$	$ \eta < 5.2$
<i>SinglePionHCAL</i>	23	Single-pion response in HCAL, $\pm 1.5\%$	$ \eta < 5.2$
<i>Fragmentation</i>	24	Jet fragmentation in PYTHIA6.4 vs. HERWIG++2.3	$ \eta < 5.2$
<i>TimePt</i>	25	Absolute p_T time dependence (indirectly with charged-pion E_{HCAL}/p)	$ \eta < 5.2$
Jet flavor (only one of these)			
<i>FlavorQCD</i>	26a	QCD dijet mixture (default)	$ \eta < 5.2$
<i>FlavorZJet</i>	26b	Z+jet mixture	$ \eta < 5.2$
<i>FlavorPhoton</i>	26c	γ +jet mixture	$ \eta < 5.2$
(or mixture of these)			
<i>FlavorGluon</i>	26d1	Pure gluon (g) (incl. $g \rightarrow q\bar{q}$ and unmatched)	$ \eta < 5.2$
<i>FlavorQuark</i>	26d2	Pure light quark (uds)	$ \eta < 5.2$
<i>FlavorCharm</i>	26d3	Pure charm (c)	$ \eta < 5.2$
<i>FlavorBottom</i>	26d4	Pure bottom (b) (Pure flavors refer to the <i>Physics</i> definition)	$ \eta < 5.2$